UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/578,750	05/10/2006	Riyuuhei Tamamoto	062467	4476	
	7590 12/27/200 I, HATTORI, DANIEL	•	EXAM	EXAMINER	
1250 CONNEC	ECTICUT AVENUE, NW LEUNG, KA CHUN A			A CHUN A	
SUITE 700 WASHINGTO	N, DC 20036		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3747		
			[
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			12/27/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	10/578,750	TAMAMOTO ET AL	
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
4	Ka Chun Leung	3747	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 17 December 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THI			
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notal Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods: The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date. 	n the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, affortice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in concernity and the reply more with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply more	Appeal. To avoid aba fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth	in the final rejection, wh	ichever is later. In
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1 136(a). The date	later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE 706.07(f).	g date of the final rejecti E FIRST REPLY WAS F I36(a) and the appropria	on. ILED WITHIN ite extension fee
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of evenuer 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	shortened statutory period for reply origer than three months after the mailing da	inally set in the final Offi	ce action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	o avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of ne appeal. Since
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a))	onsideration and/or search (see NO ow); etter form for appeal by materially re corresponding number of finally re	TE below);	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.	121. See attached Notice of Non-Co	ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s	s):		
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).	allowable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:) ⊠ will not be entered, or b) □ wi ovided below or appended.	ill be entered and an o	explanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good at was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	nd sufficient reasons why the affida	vit or other evidence i	s necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessa	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeary and was not earlier presented. S	eal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attac	ned.

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

13. Other: _____

See Continuation Sheet.

12/21/07

Am In No

HIEUT. VO PRIMARY EXAMINER TECH CENTER 3700

11. 🗵 The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).

Continuation of 11.

The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

With regards to Claim 1, the amendment introduces "a sensor for outputting a reference signal of an engine rotation position" and further that the initial igniting function for generating initial igniting instructions "before a voltage of the power supply reaches a voltage high enough to operate the processing function" which was not previously presented and would require further consideration and/or search.

With regards to Claim 5, the amendment introduces the limitation "wherein the ignition device is configured as a digital control system" which was not previously presented and would require further consideration and/or search. Additionally it appears that this limitation introduces new matter since the specification does not distinctly disclose the "ignition device" as being a digital control system. In particular, the "ignition device" is not distinctly defined as a specific single or a combination of components, therefore the "ignition device" could be in reference to the ignition plug which only receives a voltage and not any digital information. While it is noted that the ignition timing is calculated digitally, for example see the last line of [0023] from the specification, the "ignition device" is not distinctly disclosed as receiving or utilizing digital data or information.

Conclusion.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ka Chun Leung whose telephone number is (571) 272-9963. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30AM - 4:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stephen Cronin can be reached on (571) 272-4536. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Ka Chun Leung Examiner Art Unit 3747 HIEU T. VO
PRIMARY EXAMINER
TECH CENTER 3700
12/21/07